

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/670,050	Applicant(s) SEELEY ET AL.	
	Examiner Rodney H. Bonck	Art Unit 3681	

All Participants:

(1) Rodney H. Bonck.

(2) Nathan O. Jensen.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 March 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
none
Claims discussed:
claim 9
Prior art documents discussed:
none
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner proposed making the changes to claim 9 set forth in the attached examiner's amendment, and applicant's representative agreed to the changes. The changes are made to obviate a rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)